



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,627	07/24/2003	Shinichi Yatsuzuka	01-450	9051
23400	7590	09/21/2005		
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101 RESTON, VA 20191			EXAMINER COMAS, YAHVEH	
			ART UNIT 2834	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/625,627

Applicant(s)

YATSUZUKA ET AL.

Examiner

Yahveh Comas

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argument regarding Yatsuzuka not showing the magnetic shielding plate is not persuasive because as show in fig. 2a a non-magnetic plate, which is part of the plunger 123, made of nonmagnetic material is provided between the permanent magnets 122 and the iron yoke 124 as disclosed in column 4 lines 16-32. Therefore the rejection is sustained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yatsuzuka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,138,459.

Yatsuzuka discloses a plurality of teeth (130) circumferentially disposed to surround a space; a yoke (133) disposed around the teeth and magnetically connected to the teeth (130), a plurality of coils (131 and 132) mounted on the teeth, and a movable core disposed in the space opposite the teeth to reciprocate transversely to the teeth, said movable core (120) having a plurality of first permanent magnets (122) at axially opposite ends for providing a respectively plurality of pair magnetic poles on a

Art Unit: 2834

peripheral surface of each end thereof to face the surfaces of the teeth and a magnet shielding plate disposed at axially middle portion thereof to magnetically separate the permanent magnets (122) disposed at one end thereof from the permanent magnets (122) disposed at the other end thereof. Also a pair of permanent magnets respectively disposed on the opposite sides of the shielding means in the axial direction. A movable core further comprising a plurality of magnetic inductors (124), wherein said first permanent magnets (122) are disposed around a center of a plane that is perpendicular to the reciprocating direction of said movable core and polarized in directions perpendicular to the reciprocating direction, and said magnetic inductors (124) are disposed between said first permanent magnets in the direction perpendicular to the reciprocating direction, and wherein a center line (L1) of each said first permanent magnet in a radial direction inclines to a center line (L2) of the teeth (see fig 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2834

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatsuzuka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,138,459 in view of Yarr et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,389,844.

Yatsuzuka discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said first magnets project from said inductors to be located between the adjacent teeth, said coils connected to an ac power source to reciprocate said movable core or to generate electric power. However Yarr discloses a linear machine having extended magnets between the stator teeth in order to provide a linear alternators/motors with and reduce the size, cost and weight of the alternator/motor.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yatsuzuka's invention and provide linear electrodynamic machine working as a motor connected to an ac power source or a generator having magnets projections located between the adjacent teeth since that would be desirable for reduce the size, cost and weight of said alternator/motor.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatsuzuka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,138,459 in view of Hazelton U.S. Patent No. 6,313,551.

Yatsuzuka disclose the claimed invention except for said magnetic shield comprising a second permanent magnet that opposite polarity to the first permanent

Art Unit: 2834

magnets. However, Hazelton discloses a shaft made of a first permanent magnets (40) and a second permanent magnet (42) that opposite polarity to the first permanent magnets in order to improved the flux density.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yatsuzuka's invention and provide a shaft having a magnetic shield comprising a second permanent magnet that opposite polarity to the first permanent magnets in order to improved the flux density.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yahveh Comas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2020. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YC


DARREN SCHUBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800